Real Estate Today Real Estate Today Real Estate Compensation Is Quite Unlike Other Industries

By JIM SMITH

Jefferson County Realtor[®]

I know of no profession in which competing professionals cooperate with each



other the way Realtors do to sell each other's product.

A Pontiac salesman, for example, doesn't say to a customer who won't buy, "I know a Ford that would fit

your needs exactly. Let me take you across the street and see if you like it." Nor would that Pontiac sales person expect his counterpart at the Ford dealership to give him part of his commission for bringing a buyer for one of his cars.

Yet that's exactly what happens in real estate. The whole purpose of the MLS is "cooperation and compensation." Every member of an MLS is obligated to share his entire inventory of listings and to specify for each listing the amount of compensation paid to a buyer's agent.

Indeed, major elements of our Realtor[®] Code of Ethics pertain to how we cooperate with and compensate each other.

It used to be that MLS's and their member companies would dictate the "standard" listing commission as well as the share of that commission offered to buyers' agents, but about 15 years ago the Justice Department told our industry that such practices were anti-competitive. Nowadays, we agents could be convicted of a crime even for *revealing* to each other what we charge our clients.

The 2.8% "co-op" commission typically offered by listing agents to buyers' agents has its origins in that time of fixed listing commissions. It was deemed appropriate at the time to pay buyers' agents 40% of the then-standard 7% listing commission, which equaled 2.8%. Once the price-fixing ban was introduced, the listing commissions started dropping, but the co-op commission never faltered. While agents are free to offer any co-op commission they wish, few dare to offer less than 2.8% out of fear that agents will not show their listings. My own company (like other large real estate franchises) states in our company's policy manual that I must offer at least 2.8% co-op commission, and I can understand why although I'm not sure why this does not constitute price fixing.

As a result of these conflicting pressures, buyers' agents are getting a higher and higher percentage of the commission paid at each closing. We listing agents can only dream of returning to the days when we kept 60% of our commissions.

As a listing agent, I'm resigned to paying the other agent more than I keep myself. As they say, I simply make it up in volume!

